A small walk around multiblock and path modeling approaches in the scope of interactions between health and food

Véronique Cariou

Statistics, Sensometrics, Chemometrics Research Unit Oniris, INRAE, Nantes





Multiblock data	Unsupervised multiblock analysis	Supervised multiblock analysis	Conclusion
0000000			
Overview			

- 2 Unsupervised multiblock analysis
- 3 Supervised multiblock analysis

4 Conclusion

Unsupervised multiblock analysis

Supervised multiblock analysis

Conclusion

Multiblock in Web of Science











Unsupervised multiblock analysis

Supervised multiblock analysis

Approaches in sensometrics leading to higher order structures

Developments in sensory evaluation and consumer studies (Free Choice Profiling, Free Sorting, Projective Mapping, CATA, ...) associated to higher-order data structures.









Weinlachs, Agnalitentaire et. de (Alimental

Multiblock data	Unsupervised multiblock analysis	Supervised multiblock analysis	Conclusion
0000000			
Handling omnifa	rious datasets from analyi	ical platforms in chemom	etrice

In a data fusion framework to depict systems observed with different types of instrumental techniques (e.g. spectroscopic, chromatographic, imaging-based ones), at different time, in different conditions, or under varying experimental setups.





Unsupervised multiblock analysis

Supervised multiblock analysis

Conclusion

Data integration in a multi-omic perspective

Integration of multi-omic information in a meaningful way to provide a more comprehensive analysis of a biological point of interest (Ritchie et al., 2015)



Breast milk lipidome is associated with early growth trajectory in preterm infants (Alexandre-Gouabau, et al. ,2018).



Multiblock data	Unsupervised multiblock anal	iysis	Supervised multiblock analysis	Conclusion
Multiblock da	ata structures			
	variables	of the variables	observations	

Figure 1 – Block with 2 modes (a), 3 modes (b) and 2 modes (c)

(b)

observations

(c)

observations



Figure 2 - Multiblock with a partitioning of rows (a) vs columns (b) and L-Shaped data (c)



observations

(a)

Multiblock data	Unsupervised multiblock analysis	Supervised multiblock analysis	Conclusion 0000
Multiblock data a	analysis		

Data specificities

- Heterogeneous datasets
- Flat datasets *n* ≪ *p*
- Missing values
- High multicolinearity





Multiblock data	Unsupervised multiblock analysis	Supervised multiblock analysis	Conclusion
0000000			
Multiblock d	ata analysis		





Main goals

- Assess the commonalities and differences between the different data sets
- Take into account their linking relation
- Predict a phenotype or the outcome of an intervention
- Identify biomarkers / drivers of preference

Multiblock data	Unsupervised multiblock analysis	Supervised multiblock analysis	Conclusion
	●00000		
Overview			

- 2 Unsupervised multiblock analysis
- 3 Supervised multiblock analysis

4 Conclusion

Multiblock data	
00000000	

Unsupervised multiblock analysis

Supervised multiblock analysis

Conclusion

Key initial methods





Unsupervised multiblock analysis

Supervised multiblock analysis

GCA from a criterion perspective



Multiblock data	Unsupervised multiblock analysis	Supervised multiblock analysis	Conclusion
Unsupervised m	ultiblock analysis		

From GCCA (Carroll, 1968) :

- Common component : $\mathbf{t} \propto \sum_k \mathbf{t}^{(k)}$
- Block component : $\mathbf{t}^{(k)} = \mathbf{X}_k (\mathbf{X}_k^{\top} \mathbf{X}_k)^{-1} \mathbf{X}_k^{\top} \mathbf{t}$

To ComDim (Qannari et al., 2000; Qannari et al., 2001):

- Common component : $\mathbf{t} \propto \sum_k \lambda^{(k)} \mathbf{t}^{(k)}$
- Block component : $\mathbf{t}^{(k)} = \mathbf{X}_k \mathbf{X}_k^\top \mathbf{t}$
- $= \max \sum_{k=1}^{K} \operatorname{cov}^{2}(\mathbf{t}, \mathbf{t}^{(k)}) \text{ s.t. } \|\mathbf{t}\| = 1 / \operatorname{INDSCAL} \min \sum_{k=1}^{K} \left\| \mathbf{X}_{k} \mathbf{X}_{k}^{\top} \lambda^{(k)} \mathbf{t} \mathbf{t}^{\top} \right\|_{F}^{2}$
- Salience $\lambda^{(k)}$ shows the importance of \mathbf{X}_k in the determination of t
- Iterative determination of the successive common components by deflation



Multiblock data	Unsupervised multiblock analysis	Supervised multiblock analysis	Conclusion
	000000		
A brief overview	of unsupervied multiblock	approaches (Ma	angamana et

- ComDim : Common Component and Specific Weights Analysis
 - CPCA : Consensus Principal Component Analysis
 - HPCA : Hierarchical Principal Component Analysis
 - MCOA : Multiple CO-inertia Analysis
 - MFA : Multiple Factor Analysis
 - SCA : Simultaneous Component Analysis

ade4: Analysis of Ecological Data: Exploratory and Euclidean Methods in Environmental Sciences

Tools for multivariate data analysis. Seveni methods are provided for the analysis (i.e., ordination) of one-table (e.g., principal component analysis, correspor two-table (e.g., coineria analysis, refundancy analysis), three-table (e.g., RLQ analysis) and K-table (e.g., STATIS, multiple coineria analysis). The philosop packages is described in Dray and Draftor (2007) def(1):8457(jss, v022):40-).

FactoMineR: Multivariate Exploratory Data Analysis and Data Mining

Exploratory data analysis methods to summarize, visualize and describe datasets. The main principal component methods are available, those with the largest poterms of applications: principal component analysis (PCA) when variables are quantitative, correspondence analysis (CA) and multiple correspondence analysis variables are categorical, Multiple Factor Analysis threat variables are structured in groups, etc. and hierarchical cluster analysis. FL and S. Le and J. Pages (I

MBAnalysis: Multiblock Exploratory and Predictive Data Analysis

Exploratory and predictive methods for the analysis of several blocks of variables measured on the same individuals. The methods included are Multiblock Principal Components Analysis (MB-PCA), Common Dimension analysis (ComDin), Multiblock Patial Lates Paters (MB-PES), Fersion and Multiblock Weighted Covariate analysis (MB-WCa), Canton Mangamana, V. Cariou, E. Vigneur, R. Gielé Kalar, E.M. Quanari (2019) - <u>doi:10.1016/j.chemolab.2019.103856</u>-; E. Tchandos Mangamana, R. Giele Kalar, E.M. Quanari (2021) - <u>doi:10.1016/j.chemolab.2019.10385</u>-; E. Tchandos

multiblock: Multiblock Data Fusion in Statistics and Machine Learning

Functions and datasets to support Smilde, News and Liland (2021, ISBN: 978-1-119-40006-1) 'Multiblock Data Fusion in Statistics and Machine Learning - Applications in the Natural and Life Sciences'. This implements and imports a large collection of methods for multiblock data analysis with common interfaces, result- and plotting functions, several real data such as dis xi vignates covering a range different applications.

RGCCA: Regularized and Sparse Generalized Canonical Correlation Analysis for Multiblock Data

Multiblock data analysis concerns the analysis of several sets of variables (blocks) observed on the same group of individuals. The main aims of the RGCCA package are: (i) to study the relationships between blocks and (ii) to identify subsets of variables of each block which are active in their relationships with the other blocks.





Unsupervised multiblock analysis

Supervised multiblock analysis

Conclusion

Illustration with immunology data in the framework of the ANR CIMMAP





<u>Characterising the effect of maternal prebiotic supplementation</u> on perinatal <u>Immune system maturation</u>, <u>Microbiota and breast</u> <u>Milk compositions for <u>Allergy P</u>revention in high-risk children.</u>





Multiblock data	Unsupervised multiblock analysis	Supervised multiblock analysis	Conclusion
		000000	
Overview			

- 2 Unsupervised multiblock analysis
- 3 Supervised multiblock analysis

4 Conclusion



Multiblock data	Unsupervised multiblock analysis	Supervised multiblock analysis	Conclusion
		000000	
Supervised	multiblock analysis		





Multiblock data	Unsupervised multiblock analysis	Supervised multiblock analysis	Conclusion
		000000	
Integration of the	e relationships betweer	n blocks	

P-ComDim, Path-ComDim (El Ghaziri et al., 2016; Cariou et al., 2018, 2019)

- Common components : $\mathbf{t} \propto \sum_{kl} \delta_{kl} \lambda^{(kl)} \mathbf{t}^{(k)}$ et $\mathbf{u} \propto \sum_{kl} \delta_{kl} \lambda^{(kl)} \mathbf{u}^{(l)}$
- Block components : $\mathbf{t}^{(k)} = \mathbf{X}_k \mathbf{X}_k^{\top} \mathbf{t}$ et $\mathbf{u}^{(l)} = \mathbf{X}_l \mathbf{X}_l^{\top} \mathbf{v}$
- Salience associated to each block |λ^(kl)|

$$\max \sum_{k,l=1}^{K} \delta_{kl} \operatorname{cov}^{2}(\mathbf{t}^{(k)}, \mathbf{u}^{(l)}) \text{ s.t. } \|\mathbf{t}\| = 1 / \min \sum_{k,l=1}^{K} \delta_{kl} \left\| \mathbf{X}_{k} \mathbf{X}_{k}^{\top} \mathbf{X}_{l} \mathbf{X}_{l}^{\top} - \lambda^{(kl)} \mathbf{t} \mathbf{v}^{\top} \right\|_{F}^{2}$$





Unsupervised multiblock analysis

Supervised multiblock analysis

Application of a path-modeling approach within in the RedLosses project (Luong et al., 2020)





REDuction of food LOSSES by microbial spoilage prediction [French ANR project]





Application of a path-modeling approach for deciphering causality relationships between microbiota, volatile organic compounds and off-odour profiles during meat spoilage





Application of a path-modeling approach for deciphering causality relationships between microbiota, volatile organic compounds and off-odour profiles during meat spoilage







The first dimension structures the data according to storage time:

Dynamics of alteration characterized by the evolution of sensory profiles and the production of volatile compounds.

Microbiota, lower inertia: Large number of species that do not all contribute to this dynamic.



Supervised multiblock analysis

Application of a path-modeling approach for deciphering causality relationships between microbiota, volatile organic compounds and off-odour profiles during meat spoilage



Multiblock data	Unsupervised multiblock analysis	Supervised multiblock analysis	Conclusion
			0000
Overview			

- 2 Unsupervised multiblock analysis
- 3 Supervised multiblock analysis

4 Conclusion



Multiblock data	Unsupervised multiblock analysis	Supervised multiblock analysis	Conclusion
0000000	000000	0000000	0000

Conclusion

Multiblock approaches

- unsupervised and supervised methods mainly originated from psychometrics and chemometrics,
- genese from Canonical Correlation Analysis
- common issues between supervised multiblock approaches and path modeling
- increasing interest for Data Fusion and Data Integration in the study of complex systems toward holistic, data driven approach

Some challenges

- Predictive models in a path modeling context
- Introduction of non linearity with kernels
- take into account of a priori knowledge
- Partial couplings between blocks : Network PCA



Multiblock data	Unsupervised multiblock analysis	Supervised multiblock analysis	Conclusion
			0000
References			

Alexandre-Gouabau, et al. (2018). Breast milk lipidome is associated with early growth trajectory in preterm infants. Nutrients, 10(2), 164.

Cariou, V. et al. (2018). ComDim : From multiblock data analysis to path modeling. Food Quality and Preference, 67, 2734.

Cariou, V. et al. (2019). ComDim methods for the analysis of multiblock data in a data fusion perspective. In Data Handling in Science and Technology, vol. 31. Elsevier.

Carroll, J. D. (1968). Generalization of canonical correlation analysis to three or more sets of variables. Pages 227228 of Proceedings of the 76th annual convention of the American Psychological Association, vol. 3.

El Ghaziri et al. (2016). Analysis of multiblock datasets using ComDim : Overview and extension to the analysis of (K+ 1) datasets. Journal of Chemometrics, 30(8), 420429.

Hotelling, H. (1936). Relations between two sets of variates. Biometrika, 28(3/4), 321377.

Lahat, D. et al. (2015). Multimodal data fusion : an overview of methods, challenges, and prospects. Proceedings of the IEEE, 103(9), 1449-1477.

Luong, N. D. M. et al. (2021). Application of a path-modelling approach for deciphering causality relationships between microbiota, volatile organic compounds and off-odour profiles during meat spoilage. International Journal of Food Microbiology, 348, 109208.

Pearson, K. (1901). LIII. On lines and planes of closest fit to systems of points in space. The London, Edinburgh, and Dublin philosophical magazine and journal of science, 2(11), 559-572.

Ritchie, M. D. et al. (2015). Methods of integrating data to uncover genotypephenotype interactions. Nature Reviews Genetics, 16(2), 85-97.

Qannari, E. M. et al. (2000). Defining the underlying sensory dimensions. Food Quality and Preference, 11(1-2), 151154.

Qannari, E. M. et al. (2001). Common components and specific weights analysis performed on preference data. Food Quality and Preference, 12(5-7), 365368.

Mangamana, E.T. et al. (2019). Unsupervised multiblock data analysis : a unified approach and extensions. Chemometrics and Intelligent Laboratory Systems, 194, 103856.

Wold, H. (1966). Estimation of principal components and related models by iterative least squares. Multivariate analysis, 1, 391420.

Wold, H. (1973). Nonlinear iterative partial least squares (NIPALS) modelling : some current develop-ments. Pages 383407 of Multivariate AnalysisIII. Elsevier.

Wold H. (1975). Modelling in complex situations with soft information. In Third World Congress of Econometric Society, Toronto, Canada.

Wold, S. et al. (1984). The collinearity problem in linear regression, The partial least squares approach to generalized inverses. SIAM J. Sei Stat. Comput. 52. 735743.

Supervised multiblock analysis

StatSC Research Unit



Thanks for your attention

